



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 111690 . 2334 01/16/2002 Youichi Hasegawa 10/046,176 EXAMINER 25944 03/18/2005 **OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC** NGUYEN, DONGHAI D P.O. BOX 19928 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320 3729

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action Refore the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/046,176	HASEGAWA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Donghai D. Nguyen	3729	

Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Donghai D. Nguyen	3729			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. Th The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I 	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandment, affidavit, or other evidence, wat fee) in compliance with 37 CFR e reply must be filed within one of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	donment of this applic which places the appli 41.31; or (3) a Reque he following time peri in the final rejection, wh g date of the final rejecti	st for Continued ods: ichever is later. In on.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(B). ONLY CHECK BOX (B) WHEN THE	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS 	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause		
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	acted claims			
NOTE: <u>In the proposed After Final Amendment, fi</u> <u>claim 5. however, dependent claims 2-4 and 6-10</u> <u>reason the changes to claim 1 raise_new issues re</u>	iled on March 04, 2005, claim 1 has), were never previously dependent	s amended to include from the limitations o	f claim 5, for this		
37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1.10		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>11-20</u> .					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a l).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)			
13. Other:					

PETERVO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700